

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,212	04/21/2004	Qiming Li	20.2886	3211
23718	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SCHLUM	BERGER OILFIELD S	ERVICES	WHITTINGTO	N, KENNETH
200 GILLI MD 200-9	NGHAM LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	AND, TX 77478		2862	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 10/709,212			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Responsive to communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in a coordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. April Claim(s) 1-72 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-73 is/are allowed. Size allowed. S	Office Action Summary		10/709,212	LI ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edenticals of the rary be emiliated under the provisions of 37 GPT. 1138(b). In no event, however, may a reply be trained filed with the correspondence of this communication. In one event, however, may a reply be trained filed and the 20 (3) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute for provision the provision of the correspondence will apply and will earlier SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute for provision and patient the mailing date of this communication. Patients are displanated. See 37 GPR 1.78(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s)			Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time array be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no went, however, may a noty be timely filled. Extensions of time array be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no went, however, may a noty be timely filled in the communication of the provision of 17 CFR 1.138(a). In no went, however, may a noty be timely filled or 18 to part of the reply will be provised by the Office above, the maximum statutory proved underly and well exist S(x) (MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. even if timely filled, may reduce any venture adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.1794(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 06 November 2006. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is reflected. 2b) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) ○ Claim(s) 1-72 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application provided premains and the acceptance of the provided provid			Kenneth J. Whittington	2862				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 3° CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a may be timely filed. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit apply and will evide SIX (8) MONTHS from the smalling date of this communication. Fallutes to reply within the set or stendard period for reply in by the six or stendard period for reply will by statuto, access the application to become ABANDONE (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if sinely filed, may reduce any search glading that may adjustment. Set 3° CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected to 2006. Claim(s) 1-29 is/are objected to 80 the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The proving of the proving of the proving of the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * O) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Applicat								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2006. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected. 7)□ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ∑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ∑ Claim(s) 1.71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40.71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∑ Claim(s) 1.9 is/are rejected. 7) ∑ Claim(s) 1.9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1.9 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ∑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-932) 2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Status	·						
4) Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 10-39 is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to pending in and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4) Claim(s) 1-71 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40-71 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected to . 8) Claim(s) 10-39 is/are objected to . 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to pending in and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40-71</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>10-39</u> is/are objected to.	n from consideration.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 May 2004</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	\boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
5) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P1O/58/06)	1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				

Art Unit: 2862

18

24

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 6, 2006, with respect to the rejections of the claims 1-9 under Bittar (US6,476,609) and the combination therewith have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejections have been withdrawn.

However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in view of Fanini et al. (US2004/0100263), hereafter Fanini, as noted below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fanini. Regarding claim 1, Fanini discloses method and apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2862

12

18

disposing within a borehole a logging instrument equipped with at least first transmitter and receiver antennas spaced apart by a first distance, at least one of the first antennas having a tilted magnetic dipole with respect to the longitudinal axis of the instrument, the antennas being oriented about the axis of the logging instrument such that the at least one tilted magnetic dipole corresponds to a first azimuthal angle (See Fanini FIG. 1 and see paragraph 0056, note two transmitters and receivers oriented at the x and z directions, see also FIG. 4a for directions of x and z);

azimuthally-rotating the logging instrument within the borehole and while the logging instrument is rotating, activating the first transmitter antenna to transmit electromagnetic energy into the formation; while the logging instrument is rotating (See paragraphs 0062-0069),

directionally measuring the first voltage signals associated with the transmitted electromagnetic energy using the first receiver antenna, as a function of the azimuthal orientation of the logging instrument, so as to determine the azimuthal variation of the measured first voltage signals (See paragraph 0056-0059); and

Art Unit: 2862

6

12

18

fitting the azimuthal variation of the measured first voltage signals to approximate functions (See paragraphs 0067-0075).

Regarding claim 2, the fitting step is executed while the first voltage signals are being measured (See paragraphs 0064-0078, note that the data is fit for each azimuthal sector which is used to geo-steer, which would require real time calculations for steering).

Regarding claim 3, the fitting is stopped when convergence has been achieved (See paragraphs 0064-0075).

Regarding claim 4, the activating, measuring, and fitting steps are repeated to execute subsequent acquisition cycles (See paragraphs 0064-0078, note steps are repeated for each sector).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the fitting functions are sinusoids defined by coupling components of the first transmitter antenna's magnetic dipole and first receiver antenna's orientation vectors and the coefficients of the fitting components are functions of earth formation parameters including at least one of resistivity of formation beds, location of the logging instrument, borehole deviation, azimuth angle at the location of the logging instrument, and a combination thereof (See paragraph 0056).

Art Unit: 2862

18

Regarding claim 7, the fitting coefficients include constant, sine, cosine, double angle sin and double angle cosine terms that define an iterative fitting algorithm useful for determining the azimuthal dependence of the directional measurements (See paragraph 0056).

Regarding claim 8, the iterative fitting algorithm is used for selected real-time directional measurements having utility in geo-steering (See paragraphs 0064-0078).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fanini in view of Minerbo et al. (US 6,304,086), hereinafter Minerbo. Regarding this claim, Fanini teaches the features noted above except for the use of a Fourier transform. Minerbo teaches use of a Fourier transform in induction logging applications (See Minerbo col. 5, line 57 to col. 15, line 38). It would have been obvious to use a Fourier transform in the processing of Fanini. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to reduce the complexity of equations to a usable form as noted by Minerbo at col. 7, lines 45-49 and further it is well known in the art

Art Unit: 2862

12

18

to use a Fourier transform to derive a set of equations with coefficients representing desired characteristics.

Allowable Subject Matter.

Claims 10-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: these claims are allowable for the same reasons as contained in the Office Action mailed April 4, 2006.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth J. Whittington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2862

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kenneth J Whittington

Examiner

Art Unit 2862

kjw

12

EDWARD LEPKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 7